

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Roy and Josie Fisher, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

United States of America,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

Anita Lohr, et al.,

Defendants,

and

Sidney L. Sutton, et al.,

Defendants-Intervenors,

CV 74-90 TUC DCB
(Lead Case)

Maria Mendoza, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

United States of America,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

Tucson Unified School District No. One, et al.,

Defendants.

CV 74-204 TUC DCB
(Consolidated Case)

**SPECIAL MASTER’S RECOMMENDATION
REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MAGNET STATUS**

In January 2015, the Court established a set of criteria for determining whether magnet schools should retain their magnet status and said that all magnet schools should be both integrated by the 2016-17 school year and should meet specific academic criteria (Doc. 1753).

In the Unitary Status Plan, the plaintiffs and the District agreed that criteria for determining whether a school was integrated would include the provision that no school could have more than 70 percent of students of a single race/ethnicity. The Court recognized that it would be very difficult to integrate an entire school that was not integrated – *i.e.* that was “racially concentrated” – so it required that the criteria that magnet schools needed to meet would only apply to the entry grade in that school (*i.e.*, K, 6 and 9) and that that goal should be sustained as the student cohort moved through the school.

The Court’s January 2015 Order tasked the Special Master with making recommendations to the Court as to whether individual magnet schools and programs should lose their magnet status if they failed to meet their goals. The Special Master deferred in making recommendations in the fall of 2015 thus providing an opportunity for schools that did not meet the integration criteria established by the Court to do so the following year.

The Special Master examined magnet school enrollment data in 2015-16 and 2016-17 to determine whether the racially concentrated magnet schools had enrolled no more than 70 percent Latino in their entering classes. Six schools did not meet the criteria for integration in both 2015-16 and 2016-17: Cholla, Ochoa, Robison, Pueblo, Safford, and Utterback. *See* Ex. A – Table 1 for relevant data.

Three other schools fell short of reaching 70 percent or less of one race target: Carrillo, Drachman and Roskrug. Carrillo and Drachman have been “A” schools and have experienced some progress in moving toward integration status. Roskrug is a dual language school and dual

1 language is a high priority in the USP.

2 The Special Master recommends that Cholla, Ochoa, Robison, Pueblo, Safford, and
3 Utterback lose their magnet status this fall of 2016. There is no reasonable way to argue that
4 these six schools met the integration criteria set by the Court.

5 Magnet funding for the current year may be sustained unless the District proposes
6 otherwise through the established budget reallocation process. Future funding for the six schools
7 will be resolved in the budget process for the 2017-18 school year.
8

9
10 Respectfully submitted,

11
12 /s/

13 _____
Willis D. Hawley
Special Master

14 Dated: November 14, 2016
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2016, I electronically submitted the foregoing **SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING WITHDRAWAL OF MAGNET STATUS** for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:

J. William Brammer, Jr.
wbrammer@rllaz.com

P. Bruce Converse
bconverse@steptoe.com,

Oscar S. Lizardi
olizardi@rllaz.com

Michael J. Rusing
mrusing@rllaz.com

Patricia V. Waterkotte
pvictory@rllaz.com

Rubin Salter, Jr.
rsjr@aol.com

Kristian H. Salter
kristian.salter@azbar.org

Zoe Savitsky
Zoe.savitsky@usdoj.gov

Anurima Bhargava
Anurima.bhargava@usdoj.gov

Lois D. Thompson
lthompson@proskauer.com

Andrew H. Marks for
Dr. Willis D. Hawley,
Special Master